RES2019-031

4 5 6

1

2 3

8 9

7

10 11

12 13

14 15

16 17 18

19 20

22 23 24

21

31 32 33

29

30

36

34

41

A RESOLUTION BY THE CITY OF SOUTH FULTON, GEORGIA, SETTING FORTH A ZONING AND DEVELOPMENT MORATORIUM PERTAINING TO CITY DISTRICTS THREE THROUGH FIVE AND FOR OTHER LAWFUL PURPOSES

WHEREAS, the City of South Fulton ("City") is a municipal corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Georgia;

WHEREAS, the duly elected governing authority of the City, is the Mayor and Council thereof ("City Council");

WHEREAS, the City has been vested with the power and authority to regulate the practice, conduct or use of property for the purposes of maintaining health, morals, safety, security, peace and the general welfare of the City;

WHEREAS, Georgia cities are authorized to impose moratoria on zoning decisions, permits and other development approvals. See City of Roswell et al v. Outdoor Systems, Inc., 274 Ga. 130 (2001); Lawson v. Macon, 214 Ga. 278 (1958); Taylor v. Shetzen, 212 Ga. 101;

WHEREAS, the City has found that the interests of the public necessitate the enactment of a moratorium for health, safety, morals and general welfare purposes by means which are reasonable and not unduly oppressive;

WHEREAS, the City Council, as a part of planning, zoning and growth management, is in process of assessing the City's comprehensive land use plan ("Comprehensive Plan") and zoning regulations ("Zoning Code"), and studying the type of development which could be anticipated within the City;

WHEREAS, the City Council deems it important to develop a Comprehensive Plan and Zoning Code which enhances safe, healthy and positive development and therefore consider this moratorium a proper exercise of its police powers;

WHEREAS, the City Council has a strong interest in growth management so as to promote the traditional police power goals of health, safety, morals, aesthetics and the general welfare of the community; in particular, the lessening of congestion on City streets, security of the public from crime and other dangers, promotion of health and general welfare of its citizens, protection of the aesthetic qualities of the City including access to air and light, and facilitation of the adequate provision of transportation and other public requirements;

 WHEREAS, the City Council finds that the concept of "public welfare" is broad and inclusive; that the values it represents are spiritual as well as physical, aesthetic as well as monetary; and that it is within the power of the City "to determine that a community should be beautiful as well as healthy, spacious as well as clean, well balanced as well as carefully patrolled," *Berman v. Parker*, 348 U.S. 26 (1954); *Kelo v. City of New London*, 545 U.S. 469 (2005);

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that "general welfare" includes the valid public objectives of aesthetics, conservation of the value of existing lands and buildings within the City, making the most appropriate use of resources, preserving neighborhood characteristics, enhancing and protecting the economic well-being of the community, facilitating adequate provision of public services, and the preservation of the resources of the City;

WHEREAS, the City Council considers it paramount that land use regulations continue in the most orderly and predictable fashion with the least amount of disturbance to landowners and to the citizens of the City;

WHEREAS, this moratorium is enacted as a limited measure to preserve the status quo pending the City's review of its Comprehensive Plan and Zoning Code;

WHEREAS, additionally the current Comprehensive Plan includes goals to protect natural resources, and the agricultural and rural character of District Four, however, the protection requires enhancement to the physical appearance and development design controls while maintaining the agricultural area as agricultural only and protecting environmentally sensitive lands;

WHEREAS, as such, District Four desires to review the Cedar Grove Agricultural overlay to ensure that is it up to date given residential development within the past ten years, changing residential demands and the infrastructure needs of the district. The review will occur during the review of the zoning code and map;

WHEREAS, the City desires to impose a moratorium as set forth herein to allow the City Council members, Planning Commission, City staff and an appointed citizen's committee time to study these matters in conjunction with a consultant who will, finalize their recommendations, and propose revisions to better achieve the goals of the City for its own Comprehensive Plan designed by it before additional development occurs that may be contrary to the goals in its zoning code, map and Comprehensive Plan;

WHEREAS, the completion of this process is anticipated within coming months to allow the recommendations to be considered by the City Council in connection with enactment of legislation on the revised zoning code and map; and

WHEREAS, this moratorium is in the best interests of the health and general welfare of the City, its residents and general public.

THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SOUTH FULTON, GEORGIA, HEREBY RESOLVES as follows:

Section 1.

FINDINGS OF FACT

In addition to the findings in the above whereas clauses, the City Council hereby makes the following findings of fact:

- (a) The City's Zoning Code and/or Comprehensive Plan require an additional review by the City as they relate to City Districts Three through Five;
- (b) Substantial disorder, detriment and irreparable harm would result to the City and its citizens if the current land use regulation scheme in and for the above described use in the City were to be utilized by property owners for City Districts Three through Five in a manner contrary to restrictions herein, prior to a more thorough review;
- (c) The City's ongoing revision of its Zoning Code and Comprehensive Plan necessitate that this Resolution be enacted as set forth herein; and
- (d) It is necessary and in the public interest to delay, for a reasonable period of time, the processing of any applications as set forth below, to ensure that the development of the same are consistent with the long-term planning objectives of the City.

Section 2.

<u>IMPOSITION OF MORATORIUM</u>

(a) **Districts Three and Five**. There is hereby imposed a moratorium on the acceptance by City staff, boards and commissions ("City staff") of applications and/or petitions for rezoning and variances with respect to property within City Districts Three and Five for 60 days from the date of adoption of this Resolution. In addition, there shall be a moratorium on the acceptance by City staff, boards and commissions ("City staff") of applications and/or petitions for

group homes within Districts Three, Four and Five for 60 days from the date of adoption of this Resolution.

- (b) **District Four**. In addition, there is hereby imposed a moratorium on the acceptance and/or processing by City staff of applications, petitions and/or requests for approval and construction of development in CUP zoned land in City District Four which is adjacent to land zoned as agricultural, from the date of the adoption of this Resolution through September 30, 2019. This paragraph does not apply to pending and/or approved building permit applications accepted for review by the City prior to the effective date of this Resolution.
- (c) This moratorium shall have no effect upon approvals or permits previously issued or as to development plans previously approved by the City.
- (d) The provisions of this Resolution shall not affect the issuance of permits or site plan reviews that have received preliminary or final approval by the City on or before the effective date of this Resolution.
- (e) As of the effective date of this Resolution, any action taken by any City employee, representative or agent which is contrary to this Resolution will be deemed in error, null and void and of no effect whatsoever and shall constitute no assurance whatsoever of any right to engage in any act, and any action in reliance on any such action shall be unreasonable.

Section 3.

VESTED RIGHTS

The following procedures shall be put in place immediately. Under *Cannon v. Clayton County*, 255 Ga. 63 (1985); *Meeks v. City of Buford*, 275 Ga. 585 (2002); *City of Duluth v. Riverbroke Props.*, 233 Ga. App. 46 (1998), the Supreme Court stated, "Where a landowner makes a substantial change in position by expenditures and reliance on the probability of the issuance of a building permit, based upon an existing zoning resolution and the assurances of zoning officials, he acquires vested rights and is entitled to have the permit issued despite a change in the zoning resolution which would otherwise preclude the issuance of a permit." The City thus recognizes that, unknown to the City, de facto vesting may have occurred. The following procedures are established to provide exemptions from the moratorium where vesting has occurred:

A written application, including verified supporting data, documents and facts, may be made requesting a review by the Mayor and Council at a scheduled meeting of

any facts or circumstances which the applicant feels substantiates a claim for vesting and the grant of an exemption.

164 165

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

177

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191 192

193

194

163

166

Section 4. It is hereby declared to be the intention of the City Council that: (a) All sections, paragraphs, sentences, clauses and phrases of this Resolution are or were, upon their enactment, believed by the City Council to be fully valid, enforceable and constitutional.

- (b) To the greatest extent allowed by law, each and every section, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this Resolution is severable from every other section, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this Resolution. No section, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this Resolution is mutually dependent upon any other section, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this Resolution.
- (c) In the event that any phrase, clause, sentence, paragraph or section of this 176 Resolution shall, for any reason whatsoever, be declared invalid, unconstitutional or otherwise unenforceable by the valid judgment or decree of any court of competent 178 jurisdiction, it is the express intent of the City Council that such invalidity, 179 unconstitutionality or unenforceability shall, to the greatest extent allowed by law, not 180 render invalid, unconstitutional or otherwise unenforceable any of the remaining 181 phrases, clauses, sentences, paragraphs or sections of the Resolution. 182
 - Section 5. All prior City zoning moratoriums are hereby concluded and replaced by this moratorium. In addition, all Resolutions and parts of Resolutions in conflict herewith are hereby expressly repealed.
 - Section 6. The city attorney and city clerk are authorized to make non-substantive editing and renumbering revisions to this Resolution for proofing, codification, and supplementation purposes. The final version of all ordinances shall be filed with the city clerk.
 - Section 7. The effective date of this Resolution shall be July 15, 2019, the date of adoption, unless provided otherwise by the City Charter or state and/or federal law.
 - Section 8. Instruction to City Clerk: The City Clerk is hereby directed to circulate a copy of this Resolution to the Director of the City Department of Community and Regulatory Affairs and contracted Zoning Consultants promptly following its adoption.

195 196

| 197 198 | THIS RESOLUTION adopted this15th_ | day of <u>July</u> 2019. |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| 199 200 201 | CITY OF SOUTH FULTON, GEORGIA | |
| 202 203 204 | In Advise to | and the second of the second |
| 205 206 | WILLIAM "BILL" EDWARDS, MAYOR | |
| 207 | | |
| 208 | ATTEST: | - 1 / A / A / R / A / L |
| 209 210 211 | Daw Ohte | |
| 212 | S. DIANE WHITE, CITY CLERK | |
| 213 214 | APPROVED AS TO FORM: | The state of the s |
| 215 | A THOUSE NO FOLLAND | |
| 216 | | |
| 217 | EMILIA C. WALKER, CITY ATTORNEY | |
| 218 219 | EMILIA C. WALKLIN, CITT ATTOMICT | |
| 220 | | |
| 221 | | |
| 222 | | |
| 223 224 | | |
| 225 | | |
| 226 | | |
| 227 | | |
| 228 | | • |
| 229 230 | | |
| 231 | | |
| 232 | | |
| 233 | | |
| 234 | | |
| 235 236 | | |
| 237 | | |
| | | |

| 240 | The foregoing RESOLUTION No. 20 | | | |
|-----|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|----|
| 241 | by Councilmember Rowell, who n | noved its approval. The m | otion was seconded l | οу |
| 242 | Councilmember Jackson, and be | ing put to a vote, the result | was as follows: | |
| 243 | | | | |
| 244 | | | | |
| 245 | | AYE | NAY | |
| 246 | William "Bill" Edwards, Mayor | | | |
| 247 | Mark Baker, Mayor Pro Tem | X | | |
| 248 | Catherine Foster Rowell | x | | |
| 249 | Carmalitha Lizandra Gumbs | x | | |
| 250 | Helen Zenobia Willis | X | | |
| 251 | Gertrude Naeema Gilyard | X | | |
| 252 | Rosie Jackson | X | | |
| 253 | khalid kamau | X | | |
| 254 | | | | |
| 255 | | | | |
| 256 | | | | |
| | | | | |